ATTACHMENT A: PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM

All proposals will be reviewed for responsiveness and then evaluated using the criteria set out herein. Offeror Name: Evaluator Name: Date of Review: RFP Number: 2518S068-TERMINAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES IN PRINCE RUPERT **EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING** THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 1000 5.01 Understanding of the Project—10 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 100 Points 1000 Points x 10 Percent = 100 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below. 1) How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the project? NOTES: 2) How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project? NOTES:____ 3) To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide? NOTES: 4) Has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it? NOTES:____

EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR 5.01:	

STATE OF ALASKA – REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS TERMINAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES IN PRINCE RUPERT, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CA

RFP: 2518S068

5.02 Me	thodolo	gy Used for the Project—10 Percent	t Maximum Point Value for this Section - 100 Points
1000 Po	ints x 10	Percent = 100 Points	Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.
	1)	How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?	
	NOTES:		
	2)	How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?	
	NOTES:		
EVALUA	TOR'S PO	DINT TOTAL FOR 5.02:	

STATE OF ALASKA – REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS TERMINAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES IN PRINCE RUPERT, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CA

RFP: 2518S068

lanageme	nt Plan for the Project—15 Percent Maximum Point Value for this Section - 150 Points		
oints x 15	Percent = 150 Points Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below		
1)	How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead to the deliverables required in the RFP?		
NOTES:			
2) NOTES:	To what extent is accountability completely and clearly defined?		
3) NOTES:	Is the organization of the project team clear?		
4) NOTES:	How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication?		
5) NOTES:	Does it appear the offeror can meet the schedule set out in the RFP?		
6) NOTES:_	Has the contractor gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP?		
7) NOTES:_	To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems?		

STATE OF ALASKA – REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS TERMINAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES IN PRINCE RUPERT, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CA

EVALUATOR'S COMBINED POINT TOTAL FOR ALL EVALUATED SECTIONS:

RFP: 2518S068

5.04 Ex	perience	and Qualifications—15 Percent	Maximum Point Value for this Section - 150 Points		
1000 P	oints x 15	Percent = 150 Points	Proposals will be evaluated against the questions set out below.		
1)	Questic	ons regarding the personnel.			
	a)	Do the individuals assigned to the	project have experience on similar projects?		
	NOTES:				
	S				
		rals engaged in the work the project	te and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for t requires?		
	_				
2)	a)		operience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?		
	b) NOTES:	To what extent does it appear the	firm has been successful at delivering similar services in the past?		
	c) NOTES:_	Has the firm provided letters of re	ference from previous clients?		
EVALUA	ATOR'S PO	DINT TOTAL FOR 5.04:			